

Efficient payment systems and the Riksbank's approach to cash distribution

Money and Banking Conference, Central Bank of Argentina


September 20, 2016

SVERIGES RIKSBANK

Stefan Ingves Governor




The demand for cash in Sweden



Source: Statistics Sweden and Sveriges Riksbank

Cash and cards in selected countries (2014)



Source: BIS, ECB, Norges Bank, Banco Central de Chile and Banco Central de Argentina



cash in circulation / GDP



The Post- and Bankgiro systems

- 1925: The Postgiro is founded.
 - Part of a bank owned by the Swedish Post Office
 - In-house credit transfers
- 1950: Standardized paper form for bank credit transfers the Bankgiro credit transfers

• 1959: Creation of Bankgirocentralen

Cooperation among banks other than the Bankgiro



- Card payments
 - Only one network and only global brands
- ATMs
 - One company (Bankomat) owns and operates the banks' ATMs
- Bank-ID
 - One company that provides electronic identification services used by banks, businesses and authorities
- Mobile real-time payments
 - One mobile payment application (Swish) and infrastructure
- Cash distribution
 - One company acts as wholesale actor between the banks and the Riksbank

The Riksbank's role in cash distribution

- Prior to the 1980s.
 - One branch in each province (20-odd branches)
 - Supplied several cash management services without full cost recovery
 - In the 80s additional commercial activities for a fee
 - Counting and sorting daily takings from stores



Problems with cash management in the 1980s



- Price mechanism distorted
 - the banks did not bear the full costs of transporting cash implying a lack of transparency of costs about, and an implicit subsidy of, cash
- Unnecessary transports
 - the banks had incentives to deposit cash at the Riksbank's depots every night in order to receive interest payments
- Inefficiencies and competitive distortions
 - the Riksbank performed services the market could do more efficiently and in some cases also competed with the market
- The Riksbank embarked on three decades of reforming cash distribution



Reforming cash distribution (1)

- The late 1980s and early 1990s: Gradual downsizing to 11 branches
 - Larger share of the costs of transportation shifted to the market
 - More costly to deposit cash at the Riksbank each night
- The late 1990s: The Riksbank wanted to make costs more transparent
 - Aim: The market must bear the costs and have the means to influence them
 - All cash handling was organized in a company owned by the Riksbank with the aim to sell the company to a market participant
 - Low value of the company prevented a sale of the company



Reforming cash distribution (2)

- 2003-2004: study on future cash distribution
 - How to avoid that the banks come to the Riksbank with their cash unnecessarily?
 - Give banks interest compensation for cash held in private depots overnight.

 Downsizing of the Riksbank's depots continued and since 2014 the Riksbank only operates one cash centre

The Riksbank's role in cash distribution today



- General idea: The Riksbank should not do what the market can do more efficiently, costs should be transparent and the market should be bear the costs and be able to influence them
- One cash centre
 - Staff: About 8 people (after the notes and coin exchange)
- Limited role
 - Procurement of notes and coins
 - Transportation from printing facility to Riksbank cash centre
 - Destruction of defect and obsolete notes and coins
- Limiting services to core central bank role free of charge



Innovation and technology

• Swish

- Real-time person-to-person mobile 24/7 payments
- Facilitated by the Riksbank
 - Banks hold money in a special account overnight
- Other examples of innovative payment services
 - iZettle
 - SEQR
- Electronic identification is key
 - BankID
 - Mobile BankID



Cash in Sweden

- Less cash is the outcome of a market-driven process
- The shift away from cash is surprisingly fast
 - Estimated share of cash payments at the point of sale (number)
 - 2010: 39%
 - 2014: 23%
- Sweden: The legal-tender status of cash does not in practice require merchants to accept cash



Is there a tipping point?

- Accepting cash payments is associated with a cost
 - e.g. cash registers, back-office work, depositing cash, etc.

- Is there some critical level of cash-based revenue below which it is rational to stop accepting cash?
- Accelerating decline?
 - As some stores stop accepting cash, it will become less attractive as a payment method and less used...



Digital Cash – The Future?

