Whither Central Banks?

Jon Faust

http://e105.org/e266

I agree with Alan on all points

Central banks have been evolving for hundreds of years

And I think we all believe they will remain important for the foreseeable future

Vital Question: Where should we be going?

How did we get to where we are today?

The key driver of evolution

- Economies are inherently prone to various finance-related stresses, breakdowns, and crises.
- Societies have evolved many mechanisms for preventing, resolving, and mopping up after crises

mechanisms scattered across many institutions

Modern central banks arose in this ongoing process

Types of crisis

- Liquidity crises
 (bank panics)
- Government funding crises
 (often war related)
- International imbalance crises
 - (exchange rate, trade, external indebtedness)

Modern central banking history

- 1870-1930: gold standard
- 1944–1971: Bretton-Woods
- 1995 to 2007: inflation targeting
- 2016: What's next (this conference)

Aside: International monetary systems

- Alan did not talk much about central banks as part of an international monetary system
- In the gold standard and Bretton Woods eras the international system was the key
- Supreme objective: reduce the likelihood of international political, economic, and military crises flowing from unsustainable international imbalances

A caricature of 1900 vs. 2000

1900: Central banks had two overriding purposes financial stability, stability of external value of the currency

2000: low, stable domestic inflation is overriding goal A caricature of 1900 vs. 2000

1900: Central banks had two overriding purposes financial stability, stability of value of the currency

domestic inflation rate an afterthought, left to wander

2000: low, stable domestic inflation is overriding goal

value of the currency left to wander; financial stability in the background

Post-crisis: 2 returning questions

What responsibilities should a central bank have for financial stability?

(beyond a narrow lend of last resort role)

Does domestic inflation targeting deliver a coherent and healthy international monetary system?

More pointedly

- Suppose you are a small, relatively healthy economy at the edge of a large economy that is struggling with low inflation and other problems
- Will fairly single-minded pursuit of your domestic inflation target deliver the most desirable outcomes?

Switzerland? Sweden?

Some speculation

- Economists can write models to support either answer
- We can be most sure about longer-run issues
- The transition from here to the long run can be long and painful

Finally,

The costs and benefits of different approaches to these issues facing, e.g., Sweden will fall on very different segments of the population

... inherently political, raises some independence issues

. . . .

Quick summary, historical evolution of central banks

Central banking essentials:

So long as the government offers liabilities in a fiat currency, some entity must manage the internal and/or external value of that currency.

important questions about internal vs. external value

Economies need a backstop provider of liquidity

important questions about any additional financial stability roles

Ancillary responsibilities

- In virtue of its core roles, the central bank has expertise that may make it a reasonable place to lodge other aspects of oversight, regulation, maintenance of the financial plumbing.
- The main question here seem to be whether endowing the central bank with too many responsibilities may compromise its pursuit of the essentials.

. . . .

Alan's friendly amendment: independence

Central bank semi-independence

- Standard argument is that independence is key to safeguarding the value of the currency
- Experts often give a sugar-coated version of this point

I think Alan followed the norm in polite conversation

The sugar coated version

- Democratic political systems may be 'short-sighted' at times
- If the folks in charge were thinking clearly, they would accept that the *right* value of the currency (the right nominal anchor) is a technical matter

Hand it over to technical experts.

Less polite/American perspective

Especially in times of stress, monetary policy decisions are inherently political

That is, they involve complex balancing of different interests in society.

Society sets up institutions intended nudge decisions on those political matters in a desired direction.

away from some crude majoritarian outcome

Founding of the Fed's FOMC

Senator Aldrich:

No government yet has been found strong enough to resist the pressure for [inflating the money supply] in times of real or imagined stress.

Founding of the Fed's FOMC

- The solution FOMC was created with two opposing groups in carefully balanced proportion
- Representative Steagall:

[Under the bill adopted, voting on the FOMC] will stand 5 to 7, giving the people of the country as contradistinguished from private banking interests control by a vote of 7 to 5.

Note: the Senate had proposed 3 to 2

Founding of the Fed's FOMC

- In 'normal times' monetary policy may masquerade as a technical background issue
- But un-normal times can persist for a decade or more
- Should not pretend that monetary policy is purely technical or that it is mere short-sightedness that we are worried about

The reasons for insulation from democratic forces (semi-independence) are strongest at those un-normal times when policy may be most political Further evolution: Improving central bank

- Central bank laws around the world are now being re-thought
- This is as it should be
- We may soon settle into a new orthodoxy for a time

Beware of experts

- I think the community of experts is guilty of oversimplifying and excess focus on the last crisis.
- The swing from the gold standard to inflation targeting (polar opposites) is really quite striking

My hope is that we observe the lessons of history and that this conference is part of

history and that this conference is part of further real progress for the good of all.

. . .